NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

4201 WILSON BOULEVARD ARLINGTON, VIRGINIA 22230



Date:

April 22, 1999

To:

File No. 199030005

From:

Audit Advisor

nvestigation Student Trainee

Via:

Assistant Inspector General for Investigations

Subject:

Case Closeout -

Background:

We received a letter dated February 28, 1999 from

Administrative Director for

NY. The letter describes a former employee

postdoctoral fellow under the who held a financial interest in a company that sold \$106,705 worth of laboratory supplies to

The allegations came from a former postdoctoral fellow and co-founder of who also worked under the state of three NSF awards at issue.

According to the letter, the eceived and investigated several allegations raised by The following issues are of concern to our office: 1) harged higher prices on certain products to some labs than others within and 2) harded a financial interest in and that he ordered supplies under the NSF awards.

Inquiry and Findings:

Allegation 1: Pricing inconsistencies on specific products to different labs within

Director of Purchasing, and other and administrative officials inquired into various aspects of the COI allegations, including whether or not the lab was overcharged for the supplies, and whether they were overstocked on these supplies.

undertook an inquiry into all facets of the Laboratory's business relationship with He interviewed a number of requisitioners, including a lab manager, who disclosed she had received an anonymous gift, after

¹ The letter states that was paid salary under NIH awards.

having ordered supplies from the second second second had a determination that there was cause for the difference in prices across dependent upon when customers first ordered and whether or not manufacturer's price increases had been passed on to them.

ailed to disclose his personal interest in

concluded that had violated policies. Additionally, as paid \$45,228.82 was charged to three NSF awards:

P.I.);

mployment with was terminated on January 31, 1999 for violation of the institution's COI policy.

Investigation:

On March 29, 1999, we interviewed the Directors and leave via telephone, to ask how and assured that they would no longer do business with under new ownership and that they were not overcharged for products that were purchased. We requested and received from them following documentation on March 31 and April 1, 1999:

1) salary; 2) supplies charged to the three NSF awards; 3) the D&B report on (A) by the bold title as postdoctoral fellow under (A) and (B) by status or location, if known

Findings:

Although the letter indicated the potential for misuse of NSF grant funds by we have found no evidence to support that misused the \$3,741.40 of NSF grant funds to his financial benefit. The dollar amounts of \$3,741.40 were minor for materials and supplies, considering the amounts budgeted for each of the three awards.

We have concluded that conducted a full and thorough inquiry. The actions taken against a second against the second conducted a full and thorough inquiry. The actions taken against the second conducted a full and thorough inquiry.

No further review is warranted at this time. Case is closed.

conflicts of interest policy is in the file.