

CLOSEOUT FOR M93030011

This case was brought to the attention of OIG by [REDACTED] (affiliation unknown) in a letter dated March 9, 1993. The complainant enclosed a copy of a [REDACTED] letter from [REDACTED] attorney, [REDACTED] to Otto Obermeier, U.S. Attorney, NY, NY, in which allegations of perjury against the subject, [REDACTED] of the [REDACTED] [REDACTED] in [REDACTED] are made. It is alleged that the subject perjured himself in testimony before the District Court in the Southern District of New York concerning his scientific experience. It is alleged that [REDACTED] stated during an initial deposition that he received his Ph.D. in [REDACTED] in 1945 under the tutelage of [REDACTED] [REDACTED] and that in a subsequent deposition, he stated that his Ph.D. work was under [REDACTED] [REDACTED]. The complainant, in light of the alleged perjury, brings into question [REDACTED] scientific credentials. He alleges that if [REDACTED] received his degree in 1945 from the [REDACTED], then he could not have worked under [REDACTED] who was not appointed to [REDACTED] faculty until 1945.

The Office of Investigation reviewed all available proposals submitted to NSF by [REDACTED] [REDACTED] and found no evidence of misrepresentation of his scientific credentials or experience. They further examined [REDACTED] immigration files and discovered that he had been affiliated with [REDACTED] [REDACTED] worked with [REDACTED] as a part of a team sometime between 1945 and 1947. All the evidence the investigators could find indicated that [REDACTED] provided no incorrect information in his testimony. The investigators closed this case because of a lack of facts substantiating the allegation.

OIG found that there was no substance to the allegation of misrepresentation of scientific credentials or experience and closed this case without a finding of misconduct.

cc: Signatories  
Inspector General

93-11