CLOSEOUT FOR M93100050

On June 5, 1993, Dr. [redacted], a program manager in the [redacted] Program of the Division of [redacted] in the Directorate for Biological Sciences provided copies of several letters she had received from Dr. [redacted], the complainant. The complainant is a faculty member in the Institute [redacted] at the University [redacted]. In his letters, the complainant said that he was withdrawing his proposal [redacted] entitled [redacted] from consideration. He said that on the basis of information a graduate student, [redacted] had given him, he had written the proposal, but two months after the proposal was submitted, she told him she believed the information to be incorrect. He characterized the problems with the proposal as "minor".

We contacted the complainant to learn more about the problems with the proposal and to determine if these problems would be considered a misconduct-in-science matter. He told us that he had informed his University about the problems and it was currently conducting an investigation under its misconduct regulations. When we contacted the University we learned that the complainant, not the student, was the subject of an inquiry under its misconduct regulations. No complaint had been filed against the student. We already had a case, M93080046, that identified the complainant as the subject. As part of that case we learned that the University found that the professor, not the student, had committed misconduct in science with respect to the proposal.

This inquiry is closed and no further action will be taken.

cc: Staff Scientist, Deputy AIG-Oversight, AIG-Oversight, IG