## Closeout of Case Number M99060032

An NSF program officer<sup>1</sup> brought this matter to our attention on June 16, 1999. The program officer indicated that the subject<sup>2</sup> of an award funded under NSF's (redacted) Program might not have been eligible under the program guidelines<sup>3</sup> as of the date of the program application deadline.<sup>4</sup>

The program guidelines stipulate that "to be eligible . . . applicants MUST MEET ALL" of the requirements including "not hold or have held tenure on or before the program application deadline."

The subject incorrectly answered a question regarding the date he attained tenure on a (redacted) survey instrument, which gave rise to this allegation.<sup>5</sup> The actual date that the subject was granted tenure<sup>6</sup> was after the program application deadline for the (redacted) award. The subject was in fact eligible to apply for the (redacted) award when the program application was submitted.<sup>7</sup>

This inquiry is closed and no further action will be taken on this case.

cc: Integrity, IG

The program official is (redacted), formerly with the (redacted) Division in the Directorate for (redacted).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The subject of this allegation is Professor (redacted). The award, (redacted), titled "(redacted)" was made to (redacted).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The program guidelines are the (redacted).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The program application deadline was (redacted).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The subject answered a question "(redacted)" regarding the date he obtained tenure, when according to our telephone conversation with him, he actually was appointed as an associate professor in (redacted).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> The subject was granted tenure effective (redacted).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> The program application indicates that it was signed by (redacted) on (redacted)and was received by NSF on (redacted).